

Non-magnetic impurities in $S = 1/2$ spin-Peierls system and Heisenberg ladder systems

Tai-Kai Ng

Department of Physics, Hong Kong University of Science and Technology,

Clear Water Bay Road, Kowloon, Hong Kong

(February 1, 2008)

Abstract

In this paper we study the effect of non-magnetic impurities in spin-Peierls system CuGeO_3 within the framework of non-linear sigma model plus topological term. We show that local moments are induced in both the Zn -doped and Si -doped CuGeO_3 compounds. Effective low energy theories for the impurity-induced local moments are derived in both cases where interesting differences between the two cases and between the Zn -doped Heisenberg two-ladder system are pointed out. The low-energy physics of the Zn -doped Heisenberg three-leg ladder system is also discussed.

PACS Numbers: 75.10.Jm, 75.10.-b, 75.40.Mg

Recently, there are lot of interests in the study of quasi- one dimensional spin 1/2 Heisenberg spin systems, including the spin-Peierls system $CuGeO_3$ [1] and Heisenberg ladder systems $Sr_nCu_{n+1}O_{2n+1}$ [2,3]. In particular, a lot of efforts have been spent on the study of impurity effects on $CuGeO_3$ and $SrCu_2O_3$ compounds where surprising results were observed. In $CuGeO_3$, which is an inorganic spin-Peierls system, it was observed that local magnetic moments were induced when a few percent of Cu is replaced by Zn [4], or when Ge is replaced by Si [5]. In the case of $Cu_{1-x}Zn_xGeO_3$, it was discovered that the spin-Peierls state collapses at around $x = 0.03$, and is replaced by a new magnetic state which was interpreted as a spin-glass-like state [4] or long-range antiferromagnetic state [6]. In $CuGe_{1-y}Si_yO_3$, long-range antiferromagnetic order co-existing with spin-Peierls state was also observed with very small amount of disorder, when $y = 0.007$ [7,8]. Similar 'disorder induced' local magnetic moments were also observed in the two-leg ladder compound $Sr(Cu_{1-x}Zn_x)_2O_3$ when Cu ions are replaced by Zn ions [9] and long-range antiferromagnetic order was observed at low temperature at $x \sim 0.07$ [10]. The possibility of co-existing spin-Peierls and long-range antiferromagnetic state and formation of local moments in Zn -doped two-leg ladder system has been investigated by bosonization technique [11,12]. It was also suggested that the low-energy physics of the Zn -doped Heisenberg ladder system can be described by a $S = 1/2$ random J Heisenberg spin chain where the nearest-neighbor coupling J has both random sign and magnitude [13].

In this paper we shall discuss the effect of non-magnetic impurities in the spin-Peierls system within the framework of non-linear sigma model ($NL\sigma M$) plus topological terms

$$L = \frac{1}{g}(\partial_\mu \vec{n})^2 + i\lambda(|\vec{n}|^2 - 1) - i\frac{\theta}{8\pi}\epsilon^{\mu\nu}\vec{n} \cdot (\partial_\mu \vec{n} \times \partial_\nu \vec{n}), \quad (1)$$

where $\vec{n}$ is a unit vector and $g \sim 2/S = 4$. The model is believed to be the correct starting point for Heisenberg spin chains [14,15]. We shall show that the formation of magnetic moments in both Zn -doped and Si -doped $CuGeO_3$ compounds can be explained within the $NL\sigma M$ treatment. The corresponding low energy theories for finite concentration of impurities will be derived where similarities and differences between the two cases and

between the Zn -doped Heisenberg two-ladder system will be pointed out. The case of Zn -doped Heisenberg three-leg ladder system will also be discussed. We shall argue that the experimentally observed 'disorder induced' magnetic states in different compounds are consistent with our effective theories.

In the $NL\sigma M$ formulation, a dimerized $S = 1/2$ spin chain with alternating interaction $J_{i,i+1} = J[1 + \gamma(-1)^i]$ can be described for small γ by a $NL\sigma M$ with topological term $\theta = \pi(1 + \gamma)$ [15]. For an infinite chain, there is a spin gap $\Delta_g \sim J\gamma^{2/3}$ in the excitation spectrum [16]. We shall assume that the only effect of substituting Cu by Zn is to remove spins randomly from the spin chain, leading to a collection of open spin chains with average length $\sim x^{-1}$, where x is the Zn concentration.

The properties of open spin chains have been studied by the author [17] where formation of boundary excitations (end states) and their topological characters were discussed. For open $S = 1/2$ dimerized spin chain with even number of sites $i = 1, 2m$, it was found that localized $S = 1/2$ excitations exist at the ends of spin chain when $\gamma > 0$, but are absent otherwise. The existence of end states when $\gamma > 0$ can be understood most easily in the limit $|\gamma| \rightarrow 1$. In this limit, the spin chain is completely dimerized and no end states appear if $J_{i,i+1}$ is finite for *odd* i and zero for *even* i ($\gamma < 0$). In the other case when $J_{i,i+1}$ is nonzero when i is *even* ($\gamma > 0$), the first and last sites are decoupled from the rest of the spin chain forming the $S = 1/2$ end states. For $|\gamma|$ small, the end spins are not completely decoupled from the spin chain and end states which decay into the spin chain with finite correlation length $\xi \sim \Delta_g^{-1} \sim |\gamma|^{-3/2}$ are formed [17]. For the randomly doped $Cu_{1-x}Zn_xGeO_3$ compound, it is expected that open spin chains with both even and odd number of sites are formed. However, the polarization of the dimerization γ is not determined a priori but is determined by the underlying dynamics of the broken spin chain. In particular, we expect that even chains will always dimerized with $\gamma < 0$ to maximize the number of short-range spin-singlet bonds and no end states are formed. However, the situation is different for spin chains with odd number of sites where the ground state is expected to be a spin doublet with total spin $S_{tot} = 1/2$. To respect parity, we expect that the spin chain will be dimerized in a symmetric

way leaving an 'extra' spin $S = 1/2$ as a localized state at the middle of spin chain. Notice that in this case, the spin chain has opposite polarization of dimerization at two ends of the spin chain, and the localized $S = 1/2$ state at the middle of the spin chain is just a soliton solution at the domain wall separating the two regions of polarization [18].

The existence of localized $S = 1/2$ state between two regions with different polarization of dimerization can also be understood from the topological consideration of the $NL\sigma M$. In this description, the spin chain is equivalent to a σ -model with different topological angles $\theta_L = \pi(1 - \gamma)$ and $\theta_R = \pi(1 + \gamma)$ on two sides of the spin chain, where $\gamma > 0$. The qualitative properties of this model can be understood using a large- N expansion in the CP_{N-1} representation of the $NL\sigma M$, where spin excitations are represented by charged-bosons in a one-dimensional universe of scalar QED [19],

$$L \sim \frac{1}{g} \left[|(\partial_\mu + iA_\mu)Z|^2 + \Delta_g^2 |Z|^2 \right] + \frac{1}{4e^2} F_{\mu\nu}^2 - i \frac{\theta(x)}{2\pi} \epsilon^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu A_\nu, \quad (2)$$

where $Z = \begin{bmatrix} Z_\uparrow \\ Z_\downarrow \end{bmatrix}$ is a two component spinor boson field, $F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu$. e is the electric charge carried by the bosons and the sign of the charge is in fact a sub-lattice index [17]. The θ -term is given by $\theta(x) = \theta_{L(R)}$ on left(right) sides of spin chain and is interpolating smoothly between the two regions. In this description, the θ -term gives rise to a background electric field $E_b(x) = (\theta(x)/2\pi)e$, and the ground state is obtained by minimizing the energy of the system in the presence of $E_b(x)$ [19]. The electric field energy of the system can be reduced by nucleating a boson of charge $-e$ out from vacuum and localized at the boundary region where γ changes sign. The total electric field is the sum of the background electric field and electric field radiated from the charge boson. The resulting electric field remains unchanged at the left-hand region, but is reduced to $E = ((1 + \gamma)/2 - 1)e = -(1 - \gamma)e/2$ on the right-hand region. The resulting change in energy is of order $\Delta_g - e\gamma(L/2)$, where Δ_g is the energy cost in nucleating the boson, and L is the size of system. The energy of the system is always reduced for large enough L . The nucleated boson in this theory is precisely the localized $S = 1/2$ state at the middle of spin chain.

The localized spin states between regions of spin chain with different topological angle θ can also be used to understand the local moments generated in the $CuGe_{1-y}Si_yO_3$ compound. In this case, the spin chain is not broken into open chains by Si . However, replacing Ge by Si changes the coupling between spins close to the Si ion, or the elastic constant governing the lattice distortion, and may lead to a local broken symmetry where one polarization of dimerization is favoured over the other for the region close to the Si impurity. For finite concentration of Si randomly distributed in space, the favoured polarization is randomly distributed, and the system can be described as a dimerized spin chain with domain walls formed between 'mismatch' Si impurities, or equivalently, a $NL\sigma M$ with topological angle $\theta(x) = \pi(1 \pm \gamma)$ randomly distributed in space. Localized $S = 1/2$ state will be formed at boundaries between regions with different θ as demonstrated above.

The appearance of localized magnetic moments leads to Curie behaviour in uniform susceptibility $\chi \sim (x, y)/2T$ at low temperature $T < \Delta_g$ and low doping, for both $Cu_{1-x}Zn_xGeO_3$ and $CuGe_{1-y}Si_yO_3$ compounds. Notice that the concentration of magnetic moment is on average, half of the concentration of impurities in both cases. At lower temperature and higher concentration of impurities, interaction between magnetic moments becomes dominant and new magnetic states may develop in the systems. In the following we shall derive the effective Hamiltonian for the impurity-induced magnetic moments. To derive the effective Hamiltonian, we divide our system into two parts, the bulk, dimerized system and the impurity-induced local moments, the bulk system is described by the Hamiltonian with the local magnetic moments removed, and the total Hamiltonian is

$$H = H_o + J' \sum_{\langle i', j \rangle} \vec{S}_{i'} \cdot \vec{S}_j,$$

where H_o is the Hamiltonian for the bulk system, $\vec{S}_{i'}$ are the localized moments, j 's are the sites in the bulk system next to i' , and $J' < J$ is some effective coupling between the local moments and spins in the bulk system. The effective interaction between the local moments can be obtained by integrating out the bulk system. To second order in J' , we obtain an effective interaction between local moments coming from exchange of bulk spinwaves,

$$H_{eff} = \sum_{i',j'} J_{i'j'} \vec{S}_{i'} \cdot \vec{S}_{j'}, \quad (3a)$$

where $\vec{S}_{i'}$ and $\vec{S}_{j'}$ represent the localized magnetic moments, and [13]

$$J_{i'j'} \sim -(J')^2 \sum_{i,j} < \vec{S}_i \cdot \vec{S}_j >, \quad (3b)$$

where i 's and j 's are the sites next to the local moments i' and j' , and $< \vec{S}_i \cdot \vec{S}_j >$ is the zero-frequency spin-spin correlation function between sites i and j in the bulk system. In particular, for large distance $r_{ij} = |\vec{r}_i - \vec{r}_j|$ and in the spin-Peierls state, we expect

$$< \vec{S}_i \cdot \vec{S}_j > \sim (-1)^{i+j} e^{-\frac{r_{ij}}{\xi}}, \quad (3c)$$

where $\xi \sim \Delta_g^{-1}$ is the correlation length of the bulk spin system, and $< S_i S_j >$ is positive when i and j are on the same sublattice, and is negative otherwise, because of the underlying antiferromagnetic correlation in the system. As a result, $J_{i'j'}$ is negative between local moments on the same sublattice, and is positive otherwise. Notice that intra-chain coupling can also be included in the effective Hamiltonian by including intra-chain coupling in the bulk Hamiltonian H_o . The qualitative result (3) is not modified except that the correlation length ξ is anisotropic with a much larger value along chain and smaller value between chains.

Now we may apply Eqs. (3) to the $Cu_{1-x}Zn_xGeO_3$ and $CuGe_{1-y}Si_yO_3$ systems. For the Zn -doped system, inter-chain coupling between localized moments is extremely small because the spin chain is broken, and the induced local moments are located at different segments of the broken chain. The ground state behaviour of the system is mainly determined by intra-chain coupling, resulting in an effective random (but unfrustrated) Heisenberg antiferromagnet model in 3D. The coupling J_{ij} is random because the locations of the local moments are random. However the system is unfrustrated because although the magnitude of the coupling J_{ij} 's are random, the *sign* of the effective interaction is not: local moments on the same sublattice always interact ferromagnetically whereas local moments on different sublattice always interact antiferromagnetically. The classical ground state of the impurity system is always the Neel state which is unfrustrated.

For the *Si*-doped system, the consideration is similar except that inter-chain interaction between local moments exists and dominate the physics at an intermediate temperature regime when intra-chain coupling is not as important. Because of the topological nature of the domain walls, we find that the local moments are always arranged in a staggered way where the local moments adjacent to each other are always locate on opposite sub-lattice and interact antiferromagnetically, i.e. the impurity-induced local moments can be described by a model of 1D antiferromagnetic spin chain with nearest neighbor interaction J_{ij} which is *always positive* but with random magnitude. At lower temperature when intra-chain coupling becomes important, the system is described by an effective 3D random Heisenberg antiferromagnet as in *Zn*-doped case.

The theoretically suggested similarity and difference between the *Zn*-doped and *Si*-doped *CuGeO₃* compounds seem to be in qualitative agreement with what is observed experimentally. At very low temperature when intra-chain coupling becomes important, both systems are described by a model of 3D random Heisenberg antiferromagnet which, in the continuum limit, can be described by a (3+1)d *NLM* with random spin-wave velocity $c(\vec{x})$ and random spin stiffness $\rho_s(\vec{x})$,

$$S = \frac{1}{2\hbar} \int d\tau \int d^3x \rho_s(\vec{x}) \left[|\nabla \vec{n}|^2 + \frac{1}{c(\vec{x})^2} \left(\frac{\partial \vec{n}}{\partial \tau} \right)^2 \right],$$

and has an ordered phase when the effective randomness is weak enough. This long-range ordered antiferromagnetic phase seem to be observed in both compounds [6–8]. A crucial difference between the two compounds is that in the *Zn*-doped compound, the AF phase is observed at a much larger value of doping, when $x \sim 0.03$, where the spin-gap is already unobservable, [4,6]; whereas in the case of *Si*-doped compound, the AF phase is observed at $y \sim 0.007$, with co-existence of AF phase and spin-Peierls phase observed [7]. The much larger value of doping required in generating a AF state in the *Zn*-doped compound is consistent with the absence of inter-chain coupling between impurity-induced local moments in *Zn*-doped compound. The disappearance of spin-Peierls order in the AF phase also suggests that the AF phase may be formed by ordering of *all* spins in the *Zn*-doped compound, but

not ordering of impurity-induced local moments. Notice that co-existence of long-range antiferromagnetic and spin-Peierls order in the *Ge*-doped compound is a natural prediction of our theory if the AF state is formed by ordering of impurity-induced local moments, since the local magnetic moments can exist only in the presence of spin-Peierls order in our theory.

It is also interesting to compare the low-energy properties of *Si*-doped spin-Peierls and the *Zn*-doped two-leg ladder compounds. In particular, interesting differences between the two compounds is expected at the intermediate temperature regime, when the intra-chain coupling is not important. In this case, the low energy physics of both compounds can be described by model of $S = 1/2$ Heisenberg spin chain with random nearest neighbor interaction, with one crucial difference: in the case of $CuGe_{1-y}Si_yO_3$, the effective nearest neighbor interaction has random magnitude but is always positive, whereas in the $Sr(Cu_{1-x}Zn_x)_2O_3$ compound, the effective nearest neighbor interaction has both random magnitude and sign [13]. In the first case, the ground state of the system is described by a random singlet phase [20,21] which is characterized by formation of singlets between spins that may be far apart from each other. In the second case, effective spins with magnitude $> 1/2$ are generated at low energy because of ferromagnetic coupling [22] and drives the system towards a fix point very different from the above [22]. For example, it was found that the uniform magnetic susceptibility $\chi \sim T^{-1}$ in the random sign and magnitude model with different Curie constant at low and intermediate temperatures [13] whereas $\chi \sim T^{-1}|\ln T|^{-2}$ in the random singlet phase. The specific heat C is also found to be very different in the two cases, with $C \sim |\ln T|^{-3}$ in the random singlet phase and $C \sim T^{2\alpha}|\ln T|$ in the second case with $\alpha \sim 0.22$ [22]. Experimental tests of these differences are suggested.

The interesting low energy behaviour associated with impurity-doped quasi- one dimensional spin-gap systems lead us to ask whether similar interesting phenomena can be observed in other quasi-1D spin systems. Indeed, it has been suggested that similar interesting physics can be observed in half-integer spin chains with $S > 1$ [17], which have bulk low energy physics identical to $S = 1/2$ spin chains [14,15]. It was predicted that local moments coupled to each other with effective interaction $J' \sim 1/L|\ln L|$ will form at ends of *open*

chains of length L . [17,23]. Following similar analysis as in Refs [13,17], it can be shown that analogous low energy behaviour can be observed also in the three-leg Heisenberg ladder compound, upon substitution of Cu by Zn . It is convenient to view the three-leg ladder compound as three coupled antiferromagnetic spin chains. We find that replacement of Cu at the central chain of the three-leg ladder compound by Zn results at different physics from when the Cu at one of the side-chains is replaced [24]. First we consider replacing one single Cu atom by Zn . In the case when the Cu is located at one of the side-chains, we find that the Zn impurity effectively 'breaks' the ladder and the low energy physics of the system is the same as two uncoupled $S = 1/2$ spin chains; whereas in the case when the Cu atom is located at the central chain, we find that the Zn atom introduces effectively a $S = 1$ impurity into the spin-ladder and the low energy physics is that of two $S = 1/2$ spin chains coupled antiferromagnetically to the $S = 1$ impurity spin from both side. For finite concentration of Zn impurities, the low energy physics of the system can be described by $S = 1/2$ spin chains coupled to randomly located $S = 1$ impurities (assuming that at least part of the Cu atoms at central chain are replaced by Zn) and the low energy behaviour of the system is determined by competition between Kondo screening of the impurity spins by the effective $S = 1/2$ spin chain [25] and antiferromagnetic ordering of impurity-induced $S = 1$ local moments, which may lead to very different low temperature behaviour compared with the spin-Peierls or two-leg ladder systems [24].

I acknowledge helpful discussions with H. Fukuyama, A. Azuma, N. Nagaosa, A. Furusaki and M. Sigrist. I also thank the Yukawa Institute, Kyoto, where part of this work was done.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Hase, I. Terasaki and K. Uchinokura, Phys. Rev. Lett. **70**, 3651(1993).
- [2] Z. Hiroi, M. Azuma, M. Takano and Y. Bando, J. Solid State Chem. **95**, 230(1991).
- [3] T.M. Rice, S. Gopalan and M. Sigrist, Europhys. Lett. **23**, 445(1993).
- [4] M. Hase *et.al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **71**, 1450(1995).
- [5] J.P. Renard *et.al.*, Europhys. Lett. **30**, 475(1995).
- [6] S.B. Oseroff *et.al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **74**, 1450(1995).
- [7] L.P. Regnault, J.P. Renard, G. Dhalenne and A. Revcolevschi, Europhys. Lett. **32**, 579(1995).
- [8] M. Poirier *et.al.*, Phys. Rev. B **52**, R6971(1995).
- [9] M. Azuma *et.al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **73**, 3463(1994).
- [10] M. Azuma *et.al.*, preprint.
- [11] H. Fukuyama, T. Tanimoto and M. Saito, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **65**, 1183(1996).
- [12] H. Fukuyama, N. Nagaosa, M. Saito and T. Tanimoto, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **65**, 8(1996).
- [13] M. Sigrist and A. Furusaki, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **65**, 5901(1996).
- [14] F.D.M. Haldane, Phys. Lett. **93A**, 464(1983).
- [15] see I. Affleck, in *Field Theory Methods and Quantum Critical Phenomena*, edited by E. Brezin and J. Zinn-Justin (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1990).
- [16] M.C. Cross and D.S. Fisher, Phys. Rev. B **19**, 402(1979).
- [17] T.K. Ng, Phys. Rev. B **52**, 555(1994).
- [18] W.P. Su, J.R. Schrieffer and A.J. Heeger, Phys. Rev. Lett. **42**, 1698(1979).

- [19] S. Coleman, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) **101**, 239(1976).
- [20] C. Dasgupta and S.K. Ma, Phys. Rev. B **22**, 1305(1980).
- [21] D.S. Fisher, Phys. Rev. B **50**, 3799(1994).
- [22] E. Westerberg, A. Furusaki, M. Sigrist and P.A. Lee, Phys. Rev. Lett. **75**, 4302(1995).
- [23] S.J. Qin, T.K. Ng and Z.B. Su, Phys. Rev. B **52**, 12844 (1995).
- [24] T.K. Ng, unpublished.
- [25] S. Eggert and I. Affleck, Phys. Rev. B **46**, 10866(1992).